

De Bruijn sequences on primitive words and squares

Yu-Hin Au

Department of Combinatorics & Optimization
yau@uwaterloo.ca

November 24, 2009

Abstract

We present a simple algorithm that generates a De Bruijn sequence of the set of primitive words of any given length over any alphabet. We also show that the shortest sequence that contains all squares of length $2n$ over an alphabet of size k has length between $2k^n$ and $(2 + \frac{1}{k})k^n$.

1 Introduction

Given an integer $k \geq 2$, we define $\Sigma_k := \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. A *De Bruijn sequence* of Σ_k^n is a circular sequence in which every word in Σ_k^n appears as a factor exactly once. For example, 00011101 is a De Bruijn sequence of $\{0, 1\}^3$. It has been long known that such a sequence exists for Σ_k^n , for any k, n . In fact, there are exponentially many such sequences [1].

Moreno [2] extended the notion of De Bruijn sequences to any *dictionary* $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \Sigma_k^n$, and defined a De Bruijn sequence of $\mathcal{D}$ to be a circular sequence in which every word in $\mathcal{D}$ (an n -tuple) appears exactly once. Moreno also characterized the dictionaries on which De Bruijn sequences exist by looking at their corresponding De Bruijn graphs.

Given a dictionary $\mathcal{D}$, it is natural to ask the following questions: is there a De Bruijn sequence on $\mathcal{D}$? If so, can it be efficiently generated? If not, how “far” is $\mathcal{D}$ away from having one?

We try to settle the above questions on two of the most studied set of words: the primitive words and the squares. In Section 2, we provide a simple algorithm that generates a De Bruijn sequence of the primitive words in Σ_k^n , for any n, k . In Section 3, we show that the shortest sequence that contains all squares in Σ_k^{2n} as subwords has length between $2k^n$ and $(2 + \frac{1}{k})k^n$.

2 Primitive words

A word w is *primitive* if there does not exist a word x and an integer $p \geq 2$ such that $w = x^p$. Throughout this section, we let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the set of primitive words in Σ_k^n for some fixed k and n .

It is well known that, to generate a De Bruijn sequence on Σ_k^n , we can just do the following: write down n zeros, then successively write down the largest number that does not create a subword of length n that had appeared earlier in our sequence, and stop if there is no such number. Somewhat surprisingly, this simple algorithm can be easily adopted to generate a De Bruijn sequence on $\mathcal{D}$:

Proposition 1. *The following algorithm generates a word of length $|\mathcal{D}| + n - 1$ that contains, as subwords, all primitive words in Σ_k^n .*

- (1) Output 0^{n-1} ;
- (2) Let x be the previous $n-1$ symbols output. Choose the largest i from $\{0, \dots, k-1\}$ such that xi is primitive, and has not yet appeared in the word that was output. Terminate when there is no choice for i .

For example, applying the above algorithm on the set of primitive words in Σ_2^4 , we obtain the sequence 000111011001000.

We present a series of small results that lead to showing that our algorithm is correct.

Lemma 2. *For every $u \in \Sigma_k^{n-1}$, $\alpha \in \Sigma_k$, αu is primitive if and only if $u\alpha$ is primitive.*

Proof. Suppose αu is not primitive, and can be written as x^p for some word x and integer $p \geq 2$. Then the first symbol of x has to be α . If we write x as αy , it is easy to see that $(y\alpha)^p = u\alpha$. Hence $u\alpha$ is not primitive, and our claim follows. $\square$

Next, we need some notations. For any finite word w , we let $|w|$ denote its length, and $w[i]$ to be the i -th symbol in w . Also, given $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, we define $w[i..j]$ to be the subword $w[i]w[i+1] \dots w[j-1]w[j]$ of w .

Given u a primitive word in Σ_k^n , we construct the word f_u by the following procedure. For any $i \leq n$, we let $f_u[i] = u[i]$. For $i \geq n+1$, if $f_u[i-n+1..i-1] = 0^{n-1}$, we terminate. Otherwise, we define $f_u[i]$ to be the smallest letter such that $f_u[i-n+1..i]$ is primitive. The following lemma assures that f_u is well-defined:

Lemma 3. *Given any $u \in \Sigma_k^{n-1}$, if $u0$ is not primitive, then $u1$ is primitive.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that both $u0$ and $u1$ are not primitive, so $u0 = x^p$ and $u1 = y^q$ for words x, y and integers $p, q \geq 2$. Then we know that $u[s|x|] = 0$ for every $s \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ and $u[t|y|] = 1$ for every $t \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$.

Define $m := \gcd\{|x|, |y|\}$. Since $\frac{|x|}{m}$ and $|y|$ are coprime, there exists $s \leq |y| - 1$ such that $s \frac{|x|}{m} \equiv 1 \pmod{|y|}$, which implies that $s|x| \equiv m \pmod{|y|}$. Since $u[s|x|] = 0$, this implies that $y[m] = 0$, and so $u[m] = 0$. Conversely, we can find $t \leq |x| - 1$ such that $t \frac{|y|}{m} \equiv 1 \pmod{|x|}$. Then we have $t|y| \equiv m \pmod{|x|}$, and $u[m] = x[m] = u[t|y|] = 1$, a contradiction. $\square$

Therefore, we conclude that $f_u[i]$ is well defined for all i . Furthermore, if $i \geq n+1$, we know that $f_u[i] \in \{0, 1\}$. Next, we prove a lemma that is key to our main result in this section.

Lemma 4. *For any $u \in \mathcal{D}$, f_u is finite.*

Proof. Suppose 0^{n-1} never appears in f_u , and the construction never terminates. First, observe that the number of zeros in $f_u[i..i+n]$ is no less than that in $f_u[i+1..i+n+1]$ for every $i \geq 1$. This is because if $f_u[i] = 0$, and $f_u[i..i+n]$ is primitive, then $f_u[i+1..i+n]0$ is primitive by Proposition 2.

Since $f_u[i] \in \{0, 1\} \ \forall i \geq n+1$, we see that f_u has to be ultimately periodic, and there exists $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that v is primitive, but is not primitive if we replace any 1 in v by a 0. Moreover, v has at least two 1's (otherwise we have 0^{n-1} in f_u). We let v' to be the word obtained from v by replacing the last 1 in v by 0, and v'' be the word obtained from v by replacing the second last 1 in v by 0.

Suppose $v' = x^p$ where x is primitive and $p \geq 2$. Since x cannot be all zero's, we see that there must be at least two 1's in $v[n - |x| + 1..n]$. Therefore, we can write v'' as $x^{p-1}x'$, where x, x' have Hamming distance two. Also, since v'' is not primitive, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $v''[i+1..n]v''[1..i] = v''$. If we write $i = l|x| + j$ where $0 \leq j < |x|$, we have $x' = v''[n - |x| + 1..n] = x[j+1..|x|]x[1..j]$. Hence, if we let $a := x[1..j]$, $b := x[j+1..|x|]$, then $x = ab$, $x' = ba$, and $v'' = (ab)^{p-1}ba = b(ab)^{p-l-2}ba(ab)^la$.

We next derive a contradiction by showing that $ab = ba$. If $l > 0$, then $(ab)^{p-1}ba$ ends with bba and $b(ab)^{p-l-2}ba(ab)^la$ ends with aba , and we're done. Similarly, if $p-l-2 > 0$, then $(ab)^{p-1}ba$ starts with ab and $b(ab)^{p-l-2}ba(ab)^la$ starts with ba , and we can deduce that $ab = ba$. Otherwise, $l = p-l-2 = 0$ and we have $abba = bbba$, or equivalently, $abb = bba$.

Suppose there are strings a, b such that $abb = bba$ but $ab \neq ba$. Furthermore, pick a, b such that $|a|$ is minimized among pairs of strings with this property. If $|b| \geq |a|$, then $abb = bba$ implies that a is a prefix of b , and we may write $b = ac$. Then $aacac = abb = bba = acaca$, and hence $ac = ca$ and $ab = aac = aca = ba$. Otherwise, $|a| > |b|$, b is a prefix of a , and we write $a = bc$. Then we have $bcbb = abb = bba = bbcb$, hence $cbb = bbc$. Since $|c| < |a|$, we conclude that $bc = cb$ and so $ab = bcb = bbc = ba$.

Therefore, $x = ab$ is not primitive, contradicting our choice of x . We conclude that the construction of f_u must terminate finitely. $\square$

We are now ready to verify the correctness of our algorithm:

Proof of Proposition 1. Let w be the word output by our algorithm. Notice that every block of w of length n is primitive, and no block repeats. Therefore, it suffices to show that every primitive word of length n appears as a subword in w .

Notice that w must end with 0^{n-1} . Otherwise, let $x := w[|w| - n + 2, |w|]$, and suppose x appeared p times in w . The algorithm terminates at x implies that $|\{i : xi \in \mathcal{D}\}| = p - 1$. However, since w does not start with x , we have $|\{i : ix \in \mathcal{D}\}| \geq p$, contradicting Lemma 2.

Suppose w does not contain all primitive words. Let jy be such a word, where $j \in \Sigma_k, y \in \Sigma_k^{n-1}$. Since $|\{i : iy \in \mathcal{D}\}| = |\{i : yi \in \mathcal{D}\}|$ and $|\{i : iy \text{ is a subword of } w\}| = |\{i : yi \text{ is a subword of } w\}|$, there exists $j_1 \in \Sigma_k$ such that yj_1 is primitive and not a subword of w . In particular, since our algorithm always chooses the largest possible symbol to extend our sequence, we may assume that j_1 is the smallest number such that yj_1 is primitive.

Applying the same reasoning on $y[2..n-1]j_1$, we conclude that if we let j_2 be the smallest symbol such that $y[2..n-1]j_1j_2$ is primitive, then $y[2..n-1]j_1j_2$ does not appear in w .

Keep proceeding, and we conclude that any subword of length n in f_{jy} does not appear in w . Since f_{jy} ends with 0^{n-1} , this implies that w misses some primitive word that starts with 0^{n-1} . However, if that was the case, the algorithm would not have terminated, and hence this is a contradiction. $\square$

Thus, we can obtain a De Bruijn sequence by deleting the last $n - 1$ bits of the word generated by the above algorithm (which would be all zeros), and view it as a circular sequence.

3 Squares

A word w is a *square* if $w = xx$ for some word x . It is easy to see that, unlike the primitive words, there are no De Bruijn sequence for the squares, since (P1) fails. In fact, if we let $\mathcal{D}$ to be the set of squares in Σ_k^{2n} , then the De Bruijn graph of $\mathcal{D}$ has as many components as the number of conjugate classes in Σ_k^n .

The next question to ask is then, what is the length of the shortest sequence that contains all the squares as factors?

We show in this section that we can include all k^n squares of length $2n$ in a sequence that has length slightly more than $2k^n$. First, we prove that we cannot do much better than $2k^n$.

Define an equivalence relation on Σ_k^n , where $u \sim v$ if they are conjugates of each other. Let $C(n, k)$ denote the number of conjugate classes in Σ_k^n . Observe that $C(n, k) = \sum_{d \geq 1: d|n} \frac{\phi(d)}{n} k^{\frac{n}{d}}$, where $\phi(d)$ is the Euler's Phi function – the number of integers between 1 and d that are coprime with d . Notice that $C(n, k) \geq \frac{k^n}{n}$ for all n, k .

Then we have the following:

Proposition 5. *Suppose w is a word over Σ_k that contains every square in Σ_k^{2n} as factors. Then $|w| \geq k^n + nC(n, k) \geq 2k^n$.*

Proof. Let $(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(k^n)})$ be the ordered list, such that $x^{(1)^2}, x^{(2)^2}, \dots, x^{(k^n)^2}$ appear in w in that order. Observe that if $x^{(i)} \not\sim x^{(i+1)}$, then $x^{(i)^2}$ and $x^{(i+1)^2}$ can overlap at most $n - 1$ bits in w . Therefore, every time $x^{(i)}, x^{(i+1)}$ lie in different conjugate classes, there are at least n blocks of length $2n$ in w between $x^{(i)^2}$ and $x^{(i+1)^2}$ that are not squares. Since there are at $C(n, k)$ conjugate classes in Σ_k^n , we see that there are at least $n(C(n, k) - 1)$ blocks of length $2n$ in w that are not squares.

Therefore, there are at least $k^n + n(C(n, k) - 1)$ blocks of length $2n$ in w , and so

$$|w| \geq k^n + n(C(n, k) - 1) + 2n - 1 \geq k^n + nC(n, k) \geq 2k^n,$$

and our claim follows. $\square$

We next show that there is a word w of length $\approx (2 + \frac{1}{k})k^n$ on Σ_k that contains all squares of length $2n$.

Let s be a De Bruijn sequence on Σ_k^{n-1} . We repeat the first $n - 2$ bits of s at the end and look at it as an ordinary (i.e. not circular) word, so s has length $(k^{n-1} + n - 2)$. Also, given $u \in \Sigma_k^n$, define $\delta(u) := \min \{p \geq 1 : u[p + 1..n]u[1..p] = u\}$. Note that $\delta(u) = n$ if and only u is primitive.

We construct w by the following algorithm:

1. Step j , $1 \leq j \leq k^{n-1}$:
 - (a) For $i \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$, if $(s[j, j + l - 2]i)^2$ has not yet appeared in w , we *accept* i , and append $(s[j, j + l - 2]i)^{1 + (\delta(s[j, j + l - 2]i)/n)}$ to the end of w . Otherwise, we *reject* i and append nothing.
 - (b) Append $s[j]$.

2. Step $k^{n-1} + 1$: Append $s[k^{n-1} + 1, k^{n-1} + n - 2]$.

For example, when $k = 2, n = 3$, let $s = 00110$ be our De Bruijn word on Σ_2^2 . Then the algorithm runs as follows:

Step 1: $s[1, 2] = (00)$. algorithm accepts both 0 and 1. Also, $\delta(000) = 1$ and $\delta(001) = 3$. Thus, the algorithm adds $(000)^{4/3}(001)^2 0$ to w ;

Step 2: $s[2, 3] = (01)$, algorithm rejects 0 (because $(010)^2$ has already appeared) but accepts 1 (because $(011)^2$ has not yet appeared), adds $(011)^2 0$ to w ;

Step 3: $s[3, 4] = (11)$, algorithm rejects 0, accepts 1, adds $(111)^{4/3} 1$ to w ;

Step 4: $s[4, 5] = (10)$, algorithm rejects both 0 and 1, adds 1 to w ;

Step 5: algorithm adds $s[5] = 0$ to w ;

Output: $w = 0000001001001101101111110$.

For convenience, given $v \in \Sigma_k^n$, we let $v^{(p)}$ denote $v[p + 1..n]v[1..p]$. Then we have the following:

Proposition 6. *Suppose w is the word constructed by the above algorithm. Then we have the following:*

- (i) *For every word $v \in \Sigma_k^n$, v^2 appears exactly once in w ;*
- (ii) *w has length $k^n + C(n, k) + k^{n-1} + n - 2$.*

Proof. We prove (i) by showing that for every $v \in \Sigma_k^n$, there exists a unique $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, l - 1\}$ such that $(v^{(p)})^{2+(\delta(v^{(p)})-1)/n}$ is a factor of w . Note that $(v^{(p)})^{2+(\delta(v^{(p)})-1)/n}$ contains the square of each conjugate of v exactly once.

We pick the smallest j such that $s[j, j + n - 2]$ is a prefix of some conjugate of v , say $v^{(p)}$. Then we know that at step j , w does not contain $(v^{(p)})^2$ and would accept $i = v^{(p)}[n]$, so $(v^{(p)})^{1+(\delta(v^{(p)})/n)}$ is appended to w .

If at step j , some index bigger than i is accepted, then we know the block $s[j, j + n - 2] = v^{(p)}[1, n - 1]$ immediately follows, giving us the desired power of $v^{(p)}$. Otherwise, we know that $s[j]$ gets added to w at the end of step j .

Then, if any index is accepted in step $j + 1$, then $s[j + 1, j + n - 1]$ is added to w , and we get our desired power of $v^{(p)}$. Otherwise, we just add $s[j + 1]$ at the end of step $j + 1$.

Proceeding in this manner, we see that the algorithm always add $s[j, j + n - 2]$ immediately after adding $(v^{(p)})^{1+(\delta(v^{(p)})/n)}$ at step j . If $j \leq k^n - l + 1$, then this happens by step k^n . Otherwise, this is taken care of at the very last step, which adds the last $n - 1$ bits of s to w .

For (ii), we know from (i) that there are exactly k^n blocks in w that are squares. Also, it is not hard to see that throughout the algorithm, the number of indices accepted is exactly $C(n, k)$. Furthermore, every time an index is accepted in part (a) of a step, exactly n non-square blocks of $2n$ are created. The (b) steps and the final step together adds $|s| = k^{n-1} + n - 2$ bits to w . Therefore, w has length $k^n + nC(n, k) + k^{n-1} + n - 2$. $\square$

We note that the algorithm can possibly be improved by observing that we actually do not need s to be a De Bruijn sequence on Σ_k^{n-1} . Let $S(n, k)$ denote the size of the smallest subset $S \subseteq \Sigma_k^{n-1}$ such that

1. $\forall u \in \Sigma_k^n$, there exists $v \in S$ that v is a prefix of some conjugate of u ;
2. there is a De Bruijn sequence on S .

Then the algorithm is still correct if we replace s by this shorter De Bruijn word. Doing so would replace the k^{n-1} factor in the length of w by $S(n, k)$. Unfortunately, we are unable to provide any non-trivial upper bound for $S(n, k)$.

References

- [1] C. Flye-Sainte Marie, Solution to problem number 58, *l'Intermediare des Mathematiciens*, **1** (1894), 107-110
- [2] E. Moreno, De Bruijn sequences and De Bruijn graphs for a general language, *Information Processing Letters*, **96** (2005), 214-219